

**Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF)
Carbon Fund**

Emission Reductions Program Document (ER-PD) Template

ER Program Name and Country: _____

Date of Submission or Revision: _____

GENERAL INFORMATION ON COMPLETING THE ER-PD

Purpose of the ER-PD

ER Programs that have been included in the pipeline of the FCPF Carbon Fund are expected to provide detailed information on the design of the ER Program using the template provided in this document. By completing and sending the ER Program Document, a REDD Country Participant or its authorized entity officially submits the ER Program to the Carbon Fund.

The ER Program Document, in combination with other documents such as the country's Readiness Package, provides the information required by the Carbon Fund Participants to decide whether to proceed to negotiating an ERPA for the proposed ER Program.

One type of information that ER Programs are expected to provide in order to be considered in the FCPF Carbon Fund, is a demonstration of conformity with the FCPF Carbon Funds' Methodological Framework. This Framework contains a set of criteria and indicators (C&I) that will be used by Carbon Fund Participants to select ER Programs. The ER-PD will assist ER Programs to provide information on how it meets the criteria and indicators of the Methodological Framework and it will assist review by the Carbon Fund. For ease of reference [and where necessary], the sections in this ER-PD refer to the corresponding criteria specified in the MF. Unless otherwise defined in this ER-PD template, any capitalized term used in this ER-PD template shall have the same meaning ascribed to such term in the MF.

Guidance on completing the ER-PD

Please complete all sections of this ER-PD. If sections of the ER-PD are not applicable, explicitly state that the section is left blank on purpose.

Provide definitions of key terms that are used and use these key terms, as well as variables etc, consistently using the same abbreviations, formats, subscripts, etc.

The presentation of values in the ER-PD, including those used for the calculation of emission reductions, should be in international standard format e.g 1,000 representing one thousand and 1.0 representing one. Please use International System Units (SI units – refer to http://www.bipm.fr/enus/3_Si/si.html) and if other units are used for weights/currency (Lakh/crore etc), they should be accompanied by their equivalent S.I. units/norms (thousand/million).

If the ER –PD contains equations, please number all equations and define all variables used in these equations, with units indicated.

1. ENTITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MANAGEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROPOSED ER PROGRAM

1.1 Entity responsible for managing the proposed ER Program

Name of managing entity	
Type and description of organization	
Main contact person	
Title	
Address	
Telephone	
Email	
Website	

1.2 Partner agencies and organizations involved in the ER Program

Please list existing partner agencies and organizations involved in the implementation of the proposed ER Program or that have executive functions in financing, implementing, coordinating and controlling activities that are part of the proposed ER Program. Add rows as necessary.

Name of partner	Contact name, telephone and email	Core capacity and role in the ER Program
<i>Name</i>		
<i>Name</i>		
<i>Name</i>		
<i>Name</i>		
<i>Name</i>		
<i>Name</i>		

1.3 Entity signing the Emission Reduction Payment Agreement (ERPA) with the FCPF Carbon Fund

Same entity as managing entity identified in 1.1 above	Yes / No
If no, please provide details of the entity that will be signing the ERPA	
Name of entity	
Type and description of organization	
Organizational or contractual relation between the entity and the managing entity identified in 1.1 above	
Main contact person	
Title	
Address	
Telephone	
Email	
Website	

2. AUTHORIZATION BY THE NATIONAL REDD+ FOCAL POINT

2.1 National authority approving the ER Program

Please identify the national authority assigned with the responsibility to approve ER Programs in accordance with national law and regulations, as well as national REDD+ management arrangements. Where applicable, provide a reference to the decree, law or other type of decision that identified this national authority

Name of entity	
Main contact person	
Title	
Address	
Telephone	
Email	
Website	
Reference to the decree, law or other type of decision that identified this entity as the national authority on REDD+ that can approve ER Programs	

2.2 Authorization of the ER Program

*Please provide the formal letter of approval for the ER Program issued by the national authority identified in **Error! Reference source not found.** above (to be attached to this ER-PD). The written approval shall confirm that:*

- a) The REDD Country Participant endorses the proposed ER Program and its consideration for inclusion in the FCPF Carbon Fund; and*
- b) The entity that is proposing the ER Program, whether it be the national government or another entity authorized by the national government, is authorized to enter into an ERPA with the Carbon Fund. This authorization can be provided through the letter of approval or by providing reference to an existing legal and regulatory framework stipulating such authority.*

*Refer to **critterion 35, indicator 35.1** of the Methodological Framework*

3. STRATEGIC CONTEXT AND RATIONALE FOR THE ER PROGRAM

3.1 Current status of the Readiness Package and summary of additional achievements of readiness activities in the country

>>

Indicate the current status of the Readiness Package. Provide information when the Readiness Package was endorsed by the FCPF Participants Committee, and if applicable provide a brief update on REDD+ readiness activities that have taken place since this endorsement. Please reference all relevant supporting information and provide links.

3.2 Strategic rationale for the ER Program

>>

Please describe the ambition and strategic rationale for the proposed ER Program. Describe how the ER Program aims to address a significant portion of forest-related emissions and removals and is strategically relevant for the development and/or implementation of components of REDD+ readiness, specifically the current national REDD+ strategy through the implementation of a variety of interventions.

Refer to **critterion 1** of the Methodological Framework

4. ER PROGRAM LOCATION

4.1 Accounting Area of the ER Program

>>

Please present a description of the proposed Accounting Area of the ER Program, including the administrative jurisdictions or national-government-designated area(s) covered by the ER Program and its relation to the country. Also provide a map of the Accounting Area, preferably as a GIS shape file (using WGS 84)

Refer to **critterion 2** of the Methodological Framework

4.2 Environmental conditions in the Accounting Area of the ER Program

>>

Please describe the present environmental conditions in the Accounting Area of the ER Program including:

- Existing and potential vegetation types;
- Land uses and anthropogenic-use history of forest ecosystem resources;
- Climatic conditions and the occurrence of catastrophic climate related events such as those related to wind (hurricanes), drought (fire) or precipitation (floods);
- Soil characteristics;
- Presence of rare and endangered species and their habitat.

5. DESCRIPTION OF ACTIONS AND INTERVENTIONS TO BE IMPLEMENTED UNDER THE PROPOSED ER PROGRAM.

5.1 Analysis of drivers and underlying causes of deforestation and forest degradation, and developments that can lead to conservation or enhancement of forest carbon stocks

>>

Please present an analysis of the drivers, underlying causes and agents of deforestation and forest degradation. Also describe any policies and other developments that could contribute to conservation and enhancement of carbon stocks. Please distinguish between both the drivers and policies within the Accounting Area of the proposed ER Program, and any drivers or policies that occur outside the Accounting Area but are affecting land use, land cover and carbon stocks within the proposed ER Program Accounting Area. Draw on the analysis produced for the ER-PIN and the country's Readiness Package (R-Package).

Refer to **critterion 26** of the Methodological Framework

5.2 Assessment of the major barriers to REDD+

>>

Please describe the major barriers that are preventing the drivers from being addressed, and/or preventing conservation and carbon stock enhancement from occurring. Draw on the analysis produced for the ER-PIN and the country's Readiness Package (R-Package).

5.3 Description and justification of the planned actions and interventions under the ER Program that will lead to emission reductions and/or carbon stock enhancement

>>

Please describe the proposed ER Program actions and policy interventions, including those related to governance, and justify how these actions and interventions will address the drivers and underlying causes of deforestation and forest degradation and/or support carbon stock enhancement, to help overcome the barriers identified above (i.e., how will the ER Program contribute to reversing current less sustainable resource use and/or policy patterns?)

5.4 Expected lifetime of the proposed ER Program

>>

Please describe the period over which the planned actions and interventions under the ER Program will be implemented.

5.5 Assessment of land and resource tenure in the Accounting Area

>>

Building on the assessment of land and resource tenure regimes carried out during the Readiness phase, indicate if any additional assessment of land and resource tenure regimes critical to the implementation of the ER Program was necessary. If so, provide the outcome of this assessment and explain how this outcome has been or will be taken into consideration in the design and implementation of the ER Program.

*Refer to **critterion 27** of the Methodological Framework*

5.6 Risk/benefit analysis of the planned actions and interventions under the ER Program

>>

Please explain the choice and prioritization of the planned actions and interventions under the ER Program identified in 5.3 based on an analysis of the implementation risks of the activities and their potential benefits, both in terms of emission reductions and other non-carbon benefits.

5.7 Analysis of laws, statutes and other regulatory frameworks

>>

Please provide an analysis of the planned actions and interventions in the context of relevant local, regional and national laws, statutes and regulatory frameworks. Please identify any potential compliance issues of the actions and interventions with these laws, statutes and regulatory frameworks and if applicable discuss how these issues will be addressed.

6. STAKEHOLDER INFORMATION SHARING, CONSULTATION, AND PARTICIPATION

6.1 Description of stakeholder consultation process

>>

Please describe the stakeholder information sharing and consultation mechanisms that are being used in the design and implementation of the ER Program, including an overview of meetings conducted, and how this has resulted in the full, effective and on-going participation of relevant stakeholders. Provide information on how the process builds on the stakeholder outreach and consultation process implemented as part of national REDD Readiness activities.

6.2 Summary of the comments received and these views have been taken into account in the design and implementation of the ER Program

>>

Please provide a summary of the comments received from stakeholders and describe how these views have been taken into account in the design and implementation of the ER Program to ensure broad community support

7. OPERATIONAL AND FINANCIAL PLANNING

7.1 Institutional and implementation arrangements

>>

Please describe the institutional and implementation arrangements for the day-to-day operations of the ER Program. Describe how the ER Program Participants and other involved entities have sufficient capacity to undertake the proposed ER Program operations and to implement ER Program measures, including but not limited to: i) administrative oversight of the ER program; ii) development and operation of the Reference Level and Forest Monitoring System; iii) financial management; iv) Implementation of Benefit Sharing Plan and relevant Safeguard Plan(s); v) feedback and grievance redress mechanism(s); vi) stakeholder consultations and information sharing; vii) implementation of ER Program measures.

*Refer to **indicator 26.2** of the Methodological Framework*

7.2 ER Program budget

>>

Please provide a budget for the ER Program covering costs and revenues of setting up and operating the ER Program until the end of 2020; and any budget available for proposed operations beyond the end date of the Carbon Fund ERPA. The budget should include cost estimates for measures and components of the ER Program along with any revenue the intervention may generate. The budget should include the different sources of funding, including payments from the Carbon Fund, other funders or buyers of ERs, grants, etc. that are available for the ER Program. Identify any financial shortfalls and propose a strategy to address these funding gaps. Please use the table in Annex 1 to summarize the budget.

8. CARBON POOLS, SOURCES AND SINKS

8.1 Description of Sources and Sinks selected

Use the table below to state all Sources and Sinks that will be accounted as part of the ER Program (add rows as necessary). The same Sources and Sinks will be accounted for, measured, and reported, and included in the ER Program Reference Level.

Also state Sources or Sinks that have been excluded, and justify their exclusion by making conservative assumptions for example on the magnitude of the Sources and Sinks omitted. At a minimum, ER Programs must account for emissions from deforestation. Emissions from forest degradation also should be accounted for where such emissions are significant (more than 10% of total forest-related emissions in the Accounting Area, during the Reference Period and during the Term of the ERPA).

Refer to **critterion 3** of the Methodological Framework

Sources/Sinks	Included?	Justification / Explanation
Emissions from deforestation	Yes	At a minimum, ER Programs must account for emissions from deforestation.
Source/Sink 2		
....		

8.2 Description of Carbon Pools and greenhouse gases selected

Please use the tables below to state all Carbon Pools and Greenhouse Gases that will be accounted as part of the ER Program (ad rows as necessary). The ER Program should account for, measure, and report, and include in the ER Program Reference Level, significant Carbon Pools and greenhouse gases (i.e those that contribute to more than 10% of total forest-related emissions in the Accounting Area during the Reference Period), except where their exclusion would underestimate total emission reductions.

Explain whether any Carbon Pools and Greenhouse Gases have been excluded, and if so, justify their exclusion by making conservative assumptions for example on the magnitude of the Carbon Pools and Greenhouse Gases omitted.

Refer to **critterion 4** of the Methodological Framework

Carbon pools	Selected?	Justification / Explanation
...

Greenhouse Gases	Selected?	Justification / Explanation
CO ₂	Yes	The ER Program shall always account for CO ₂ emissions and removals
...		

9. REFERENCE LEVEL

9.1 Reference Period

>>

Please provide the Reference Period used in the construction of the Reference Level by indicating the start-date and the end-date for the Reference Period. If these dates are different from the guidance provided in the FCPF Carbon Fund methodological Framework, please provide justification for the alternatives date(s).

Refer to **critterion 11** of the Methodological Framework

9.2 Forest definition used in the construction of the Reference Level

>>

Please describe the forest definition used in the construction of the Reference Level and how this definition follows the guidance from UNFCCC decision 12/CP.17¹. If there is a difference between the definition of forest used in the national greenhouse gas inventory or in reporting to other international organizations (including an FREL/FRL to the UNFCCC) and the definition used in the construction of the Reference Level, then explain how and why the forest definition used in the Reference Level was chosen. If applicable, describe the definition of sub-classes of forests, (e.g., degraded forest; natural forest; plantation) used.

Refer to **critterion 12** of the Methodological Framework

9.3 Method for establishing the Reference Level

>>

Please provide a transparent, complete, consistent and accurate description of the methods used for the construction of the Reference Level, including, inter alia:

- i. Explanation how the most recent Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change guidance and guidelines, have been applied as a basis for estimating the Reference Level; and
- ii. A description of approaches, methods, models (if applicable) and assumptions used;
- iii. For any algorithms and formulae used in the estimation of the Reference Level, describe how they have been applied and document the outcome for each algorithms or formulae.

Provide an overview of the data and information that was used in constructing the Reference Level, including historical data, by using the table provided (copy table for each data unit/parameter).

If the Reference Level has been adjusted upward above average annual historical emissions, please describe:

- a) How the ER Program meets the eligibility requirements for these type of adjustments as described in the FCPF Carbon Fund Methodological Framework;
- b) [Reference to documented policy changes or investments that are underway, but the effects of which are not captured in the historical Reference Period;]
- c) [All data an assumptions that are the basis of documented trends in emissions.]

Refer to **critterion 5,6 and 13** of the Methodological Framework

Parameter:	
Data unit:	
Description:	
Source and vintage of data ((e.g. official statistics, IPCC Guidelines, commercial and scientific literature,) or the method of developing the data:	

¹ UNFCCC SBSTA 12/CP.17 Annex Para. 4

Spatial level (local, regional, national or international).	
(pre-)processing methods for data derived from remote sensing images (including the type of sensors and the details of the images used)	
Value applied:	
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied:	

9.4 Estimated Reference Level

Please use the table below to state the estimated Reference Level for the ER Program.

*Refer to **criterion 10, indicator 10.1** of the Methodological Framework*

ER Program Reference level

ERPA term year t	Reference level (tCO_{2-e}/yr)	
	Annual	Cumulative
1		
2		
3		
...		
...		
T		

9.5 Relation between the Reference Level, the development of a FREL/FRL for the UNFCCC and the countries the country's existing or emerging greenhouse gas inventory

>>

Please explain how the development of the Reference Level can inform or is informed by the development of a national FREL/FRL, and explains the relationship between the Reference Level and any intended submission of a FREL/FRL to the UNFCCC. In addition, please explain what steps are intended for the Reference Level to achieve consistency with the country's existing or emerging greenhouse gas inventory.

*Refer to **criterion 10, indicators 10.2 and 10.3** of the Methodological Framework*

10. APPROACH FOR MEASUREMENT, MONITORING AND REPORTING

10.1 Measurement, monitoring and reporting approach for estimating emissions occurring under the ER Program within the Accounting Area

>>

Please provide a systematic and step-by-step description of the measurement and monitoring approach for estimating the emissions occurring under the proposed ER Program. Be specific and complete, so that future

measurement and monitoring can be carried out in a transparent way, replicated, and subjected to verification.

As part of the description, provide an explanation how the most recent Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change guidance and guidelines, have been applied as a basis for the measurement, monitoring and reporting approach. Where appropriate, describe the role of communities in monitoring and reporting.

Using the table provided, clearly describe all the data and parameters to be monitored (copy table for each parameter).

*Refer to **critterion 5, 6, and 14** of the Methodological Framework*

Parameter:	
Data unit:	
Description:	
Source of data:	
Source of data or measurement methods and procedures to be applied (e.g. field measurements, remote sensing data, national data, official statistics, IPCC Guidelines, commercial and scientific literature) including the spatial level of the data (local, regional, national, international)	
Frequency of monitoring/recording:	
Value applied:	
Monitoring equipment:	
Quality Assurance/Quality Control procedures to be applied:	
Calculation method:	
Any comment:	

10.2 Organizational structure for measurement, monitoring and reporting

>>

Please describe the organization of the measurement, monitoring and reporting including:

- *Organizational structure, responsibilities and competencies;*
- *Methods for generating, recording, storing, aggregating, collating and reporting data on monitored parameters.*

10.3 Relation with the National Forest Monitoring System

>>

Please discuss if the approach for measurement, monitoring and reporting is consistent with standard technical procedures in the country and how the approach fits into the existing or emerging National Forest Monitoring

System. If applicable, provide a rationale for alternative technical design.

*Refer to **critterion 15** of the Methodological Framework*

11. DISPLACEMENT

11.1 Identification of risk of Displacement

>>

Building on the analysis in sections 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3, please asses the risk for Displacement of emissions from the ER Program Accounting Area to areas outside the Accounting Area as a result of the proposed ER Program Measures.

*Refer to **critterion 17, indicator 17.1** of the Methodological Framework*

11.2 ER Program design features to prevent and minimize potential Displacement

>>

Please identify possible risk mitigation strategies associated with the risks identified in section 11.1 above. Describe the strategy to mitigate and/or minimize, to the extent possible, potential Displacement, prioritizing the key sources of Displacement risk. Emphasis should be placed on good program design that reduces the risk of market- or subsistence-driven Displacement, e.g., by maintaining the same level of production of commodities in the ER Program that occurred prior to the Program, and by introducing and supporting alternative sustainable livelihoods in the ER Program.

*Refer to **critterion 17, indicator 17.2** of the Methodological Framework*

11.3 Method to estimate increased emissions from Displacement

>>

Please describe the accounting procedure to estimate in-country Displacement. Provide a systematic and step-by-step description of the accounting procedure. Be specific and complete, so that the accounting procedure can be carried out in an unambiguous way, replicated, and subjected to verification.

*Refer to **critterion 18** of the Methodological Framework*

12. REVERSALS

12.1 Identification of risk of Reversals

>>

Please provide an assessment of the anthropogenic and natural Reversal risks that might affect ERs during the Term of the ERPA and as feasible, the potential risk of Reversals after the end of the Term of the ERPA.

*Refer to **critterion 19, indicator 19.1** of the Methodological Framework*

12.2 ER Program design features to mitigate Reversals

>>

Please identify possible risk mitigation strategies associated with the risks identified in section 12.1 above.

Describe how the ER Program design features will contribute to the mitigation of significant Reversal risks, and will address the long term sustainability of its Emission Reductions.

*Refer to **critterion 19, indicator 19.2** of the Methodological Framework*

12.3 Reversal management mechanism

>>

Please describe the Reversal management mechanism that will be applied by the ER Program. Demonstrate how this Reversal management mechanism will be used to prevent, identify or address Reversals and how it is appropriate for the ER Program’s assessed level of risk. Describe if the mechanism is already in place or when it is expected to be in place.

*Refer to **critterion 20** of the Methodological Framework*

12.4 Monitoring and reporting of potential Reversal events

>>

Please describe the monitoring mechanism that will be put in place to monitor and report emissions in the Accounting Area or changes in ER Program circumstances that in the reasonable opinion of the ER Program could lead to Reversals of previously transferred ERs.

*Refer to **critterion 21** of the Methodological Framework*

13. CALCULATION OF EMISSION REDUCTIONS

13.1 Ex-ante estimation of the Emission Reductions

>>

Using the table below, please provide a simplified ex-ante estimation of the Emission Reductions of the ER Program within the Accounting Area based on the approach outlined in the FCPF Carbon Fund Methodological Framework. Where the calculation requires monitored data that is not available yet, use best estimates based on expected impacts of the ER Program and data that might be available from other actions (either in the country or in other countries). List all assumptions, the values used for each parameters and provide the source for these data.

*Refer to **critterion 22** of the Methodological Framework*

Ex-ante estimation of the ERs expected from the ER Program

ERPA term year <i>t</i>	Reference level (tCO ₂ .e/yr)	Emissions under the ER Program (tCO ₂ . e/yr)	Estimated Emission Reductions (tCO ₂ . e/yr)
1			
2			
3			
...			
<i>T</i>			

14. UNCERTAINTIES OF THE CALCULATION OF EMISSION REDUCTIONS

14.1 Identification and assessment of sources of uncertainty

>>

Please systematically identify and assess sources of uncertainty in Reference Level (RL) setting and measurement, monitoring and reporting (MMR). Identify all assumptions and sources of uncertainty associated with Activity Data, Emission Factors and calculation methods that contribute to the uncertainty of the estimates of emissions and removals and assess their relative contribution to the overall uncertainty of the emissions and removals.

*Refer to **critterion 7** of the Methodological Framework*

14.2 Managing and reducing uncertainty

>>

Please describe how the ER Program, to the extent feasible, follows a process of managing and reducing uncertainty of Activity Data and Emission Factors used in RL setting and MMR.

*Refer to **critterion 8** of the Methodological Framework*

14.3 Quantification of uncertainty

>>

Please provide a quantification of the uncertainty of Activity Data and Emission Factors used in RL setting using accepted international standards, for example by providing accuracy, confidence interval, distribution of error, propagation of error. Also quantify the uncertainty of the estimate of Emission Reductions that will be applied at the time of measurement, monitoring and reporting.

*Refer to **critterion 9** of the Methodological Framework*

15.SAFEGUARDS

15.1 Analysis of environmental and socio-economic impacts of the ER Program

>>

Please analyze and document the environmental and social-economic impacts of the ER Program including the impacts on biodiversity and natural forests.

15.2 Description how the ER Program meets the World Bank social and environmental safeguards and promotes and supports the safeguards included in UNFCCC guidance related to REDD+

>>

Indicator 21.1:Indicator 23.1: The ER Program demonstrates through its design and implementation how it meets relevant World Bank social and environmental safeguards, and promotes and supports the safeguards included in UNFCCC guidance related to REDD+, by paying particular attention to Decision 1/CP.16 and its Appendix I as adopted by the UNFCCC

*Refer to **critterion 23** of the Methodological Framework*

15.3 Description of systems to provide information on safeguards during ER Program implementation

>>

Please describe the arrangements for providing information on how the ER Program meets the World Bank social and environmental safeguards and addresses and respects the safeguards included in UNFCCC guidance related to REDD+ during ER Program implementation. Where relevant, provide reference to the description in the Safeguards Plans.

*Refer to **critterion 24** of the Methodological Framework*

15.4 Description of the Feedback and Grievance Redress Mechanism (FGRM) in place and possible actions to improve it

>>

Please provide an assessment of existing FGRM(s), including any applicable customary FGRMs, in place and describe the FGRM procedures for the ER Program. Where applicable refer to descriptions available in other documents such as Benefit Sharing Plan and/or relevant Safeguards Plans. If applicable, provide a description of planned actions to improve the FGRM(s)

*Refer to **critterion 25** of the Methodological Framework*

16.BENEFIT-SHARING ARRANGEMENTS

16.1 Description of benefit-sharing arrangements

>>

Please provide a description of the benefit-sharing arrangements for the Payment-Related Benefits of the ER Program. Summarize the Benefit-Sharing Plan including the categories of potential Beneficiaries and the types and scale of potential Payment-Related Benefits. Where available, provide a link to the publicly available Benefit Sharing Plan.

*Refer to **critterion 28 and 29** of the Methodological Framework*

16.2 Summary of the process of designing the benefit-sharing arrangements

>>

Please provide a summary of the process of designing the benefit-sharing arrangements, and who has been participating in this process, including information on how the process was informed by and builds upon the national Readiness process, including the SESA. Please describe how the benefit sharing arrangements have been prepared as part of the consultative, transparent and participatory consultation process for the ER Program. If applicable, please attach evidence of the process and endorsement of the benefit –sharing arrangements by relevant stakeholders as an annex to this document.

*Refer to **critterion 30** of the Methodological Framework*

16.3 Description of the legal context of the benefit-sharing arrangements

>>

Please describe how the design and implementation of the Benefit-Sharing Plan complies with relevant applicable laws.

*Refer to **critterion 32** of the Methodological Framework*

17. NON CARBON BENEFITS

17.1 Identification of Priority Non-Carbon Benefits

>>

Please outline the potential Non-Carbon Benefits for the ER Program. Identify priority Non-Carbon Benefits, and describes how the ER Program will generate and/or enhance such priority Non-Carbon Benefits.

*Refer to **critterion 33** of the Methodological Framework*

17.2 Approach for providing information on priority Non-Carbon Benefits

>>

Please indicate how information on the generation and/or enhancement of priority Non-Carbon Benefits will be provided during ER Program implementation, as feasible by providing a description of the proposed approach for providing information on priority Non-Carbon Benefits.

*Refer to **critterion 34** of the Methodological Framework*

18. TITLE TO EMISSION REDUCTIONS

>>

Please demonstrate the ability to transfer to the Carbon Fund Title to ERs.

The ability to transfer Title to ERs may be demonstrated through various means, including reference to existing legal and regulatory frameworks, sub-arrangements with potential rights-holders (including those holding legal and customary rights, as identified in section 5.5), and benefit sharing arrangements under the Benefit Sharing Plan.

*Refer to **critterion 35** of the Methodological Framework*

19. ARRANGEMENTS TO AVOID MULTIPLE CLAIMS TO AN ER TITLE

>>

Please indicate how the ER Program works with the host country to select an appropriate arrangement to avoid having multiple claims to an ER Title. In addition please indicate how the ER Program will ensure that any ER from REDD+ activities under the ER Program are not generated more than once; and that any ER from REDD+ activities under the ER Program sold and transferred to the Carbon Fund are not used again by any entity for sale, public relations, compliance or any other purpose.

Refer to **critterion 36 and 37** of the Methodological Framework

20. PARTICIPATION UNDER OTHER GHG INIATIVES

>>

Please indicate whether the ER Program, or parts of the ER Program, has or is planning to transfer ERs under any other GHG Mitigation Initiatives. This would include parts of the ER Program area that are registered or are seeking registration under project level standards such as the CDM or the VCS.

Please also indicate any actions that might not be included in the ER Program but could address the drivers of deforestation within the ER Program area and that have or are planning to transfer ERs under any other GHG Mitigation Initiatives (i.e improved cook stoves programs under the CDM).

Where the ER Program, or parts of the ER Program, has been registered under any GHG Mitigation Initiative, provide the registration number and details.

Annex 1: Summary of financial plan

Expected uses of funds	Description	Breakdown per year									
		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
<i>Costs related to administrative oversight of the ER Program</i>	<i>(please explain)</i>										
<i>Operational and implementation costs related to the actions and interventions that are part of the ER Program</i>	<i>(please explain)</i>										
<i>Financing costs (e.g., interest payments on loans)</i>	<i>(please explain)</i>										
<i>Costs related to development and operation of the Reference Level and Forest Monitoring System;</i>	<i>(please explain)</i>										
<i>Costs related to the Implementation of Benefit Sharing Plan and relevant Safeguard Plan(s)</i>	<i>(please explain)</i>										
<i>Costs related to the</i>	<i>(please explain)</i>										

<i>implementation of the feedback and grievance redress mechanism(s);</i>											
<i>Costs related to stakeholder consultations and information sharing</i>	<i>(please explain)</i>										
<i>Other costs</i>	<i>(please explain)</i>										
Total uses											

Expected sources of funds	Description										
<i>Grants</i>	<i>(please name sources)</i>										
<i>Loans</i>	<i>(please name sources)</i>										
<i>Revenue from REDD+ activities (e.g., sale of agricultural products)</i>	<i>(please name sources)</i>										
<i>Revenue from sale of Emission Reductions (contracted)</i>											
<i>Revenue from sale of additional Emission Reductions (not yet contracted)</i>											
Total sources (before taxes)											

Net revenue before taxes (=total sources – total uses)										
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

